

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/642,265	08/18/2003	James Edward Angelo	50103-566	1518
	7590 04/18/2007 CHNOLOGY LLC	, EXAMINER		
c/o MCDERMO	OTT WILL & EMERY I	FALASCO, LOUIS V		
600 13TH STR WASHINGTO	EET, NW N, DC 20005-3096		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•	,		1773	
	· · ·			
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		04/18/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/642,265	ANGELO ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Louis Falasco	1773				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address				
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DOTS IN THE MAILING THE	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS , cause the application to become ABANI	TION. be timely filed from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status		. J					
1)[🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 3/12/	<u>′07</u>					
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.						
3)[Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1	1, 453 O.G. 213.				
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>19-25</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>25</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	⊠ Claim(s) <u>19-24</u> is/are rejected.						
-	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)[_]	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.	•				
Applicati	on Papers						
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	ır.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:	-	19(a)-(d) or (f).				
	 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	• •					
	application from the International Bureau	•					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachmen	t(s)						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sum					
	Paper No(s)/Mail Date Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:							

Application/Control Number: 10/642,265

Art Unit: 1773

DETAILED ACTION

Papers Received

- 1. The Election and Remarks filed 3/12/07 are acknowledged.
- 2. The Amendments, new claims and Remarks filed 12/14/06 are acknowledged.

Claims

3. The claims are: 19 to 25.

Election/Restriction of Invention

4. Applicant's election with traverse of Species <u>A</u> claims <u>19</u> to <u>24</u> in the reply filed on March 12, 2007 is acknowledged. The traversal is because it would not be a serious burden to consider all Species. This is not found persuasive. The Species have been demonstrated as having divergent classified search placements and consideration, moreover applicants have not admitted the species are obvious variants.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

5. The claims under consideration are: 1 to 24.

Statutory Basis

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Page 2

Art Unit: 1773

Rejections

6. Claims 19-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the by either one of **Belser** (US 6643082) or **Kimura et al** (US 6352656).

Belser and Kimura et al both teach the stamper of these claims.

Belser and **Kimura et al** both teach a stamper for embossing a pattern of recesses in recording spaced-apart_zones. The stamper has a main body and surface for embossing patterns corresponding to zone rectangular or sinusoidal shapes in



the medium substrate – as seen in **Belser** at Figs. 4-6 items 415/430:

in **Belser** at Fig. 10 items 405/410/415: expanded on at col. 5 lns 37,38; col. 6 lns 3-16; col. 10 lns 18-53 or see **Kimura et al** Figs. 1D&2D at items 4b,4a: for Fig. 4D at items 34a,34b: fol. 3 ln 54,55; col. 2 ln 3; col. 3 ln 22; col. 4 lns 55-63.

a. As regard the metal or alloy of claim 22: see **Belser** col. 10 ln 19 and **Kimura et al** col. 6 ln 4.

Art Unit: 1773

7. Claim 23 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over either **Belser** or **Kimura et al** as applied to claims 19-22 above, and further in view of **DePuydt** et al (US 6030556).

Belser and Kimura et al both teach the stamper of these claims except the specific metals. However, DePuydt et al teaches these metals as conventional metals for recording media formatting stampers -DePuydt et al col. 5 lns 19-23, and col. 11 ln 25.

It would have been *prima facie* obvious, to one having ordinary skill in the art, to adopt the metals suggested by **Kanome et al** for a formatting stamper in **Belser** or **Kimura et al** formatting stamper. **DePuydt et al**, similar to **Belser** or **Kimura et al**, is directed to high precision stamper for formatting recording media - see **DePuydt et al** col. 2 lns 40-48.

8. Claims 23 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over either **Belser** or **Kimura et al** as applied to claims 19-22 above, and further in view of **Kanome et al** (US 5320514).

Belser and **Kimura et al** teach the recording media formatting stamper of these claims except specifying the specific metals of these claims. However, **Kanome et al** teaches that the metal composition of these claims to be conventional for high precision stampers (**Kanome et al** col. 9 lns 64-66).

It would have been *prima facie* obvious, to one having ordinary skill in the art, to adopt metals suggested by **Kanome et al** for a formatting recording media in **Belser** or

Art Unit: 1773

Kimura et al. Kanome et al, like **Belser** or **Kimura et al**, is directed to high precision recording media stampers - see **Kanome et al** col. 3 lns 1,2 and 51-53 capable of formatting recording media.

Answer to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims under consideration filed 12/14/06 have been fully considered but they are considered moot in view of the new grounds of rejection.

Conclusion

The claims are 22 to 25.

- Restriction has been required, and claim 25 has been withdrawn from consideration.
- No claim has been allowed.

Applicant's amendments, adding a rectangular or sinusoidal pattern, and new claims, 21-25, necessitated the new grounds of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

Application/Control Number: 10/642,265

Art Unit: 1773

Page 6

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

INQUIRES

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Louis Falasco, PhD whose telephone number is (571)272-1507. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 10:30 - 7:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carol D. Chaney, PhD can be reached at (571)272-1284. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571)273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

% LF 04/07

CAROL CHANEY **SUPERVISORY** PATENT EXAMINER